# Outage Probability Bound and Diversity Gain for Ultra-Wideband Multiple-Access Relay Channels with Correlated Noises

Mohammad Osmani-Bojd, Assadallah Sahebalam, and Ghosheh Abed Hodtani Department of Electrical Engineering, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, mohammad.osmani@gmail.com, a.sahebalam@mashhadmtv.ac.ir, ghodtani@gmail.com Corresponding author: Mohammad Osmani-Bojd

*Abstract*— In this paper, Ultra-wideband (UWB) multiple access relay channel with correlated noises at the relay and receiver is investigated. We obtain outer and inner bounds for the IEEE 802.15.3a UWB multiple access relay channel, and also, a diversity gain bound. Finally, we evaluate some results numerically and show that noise correlation coefficients play important role in determining relay position.

*Index Terms*— Ultra wideband, multiple access relay channel, diversity gain, outage probability.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Information theoretic performance analysis of UWB communication systems is of practically importance, due to UWB extremely high data rates and diversity, coexistence capability with other wireless networks, accurate position location and ranging, no significant multipath fading, multiple access, covert communications and possible easier material penetration.

Possibly extension of discrete alphabet channels results to continuous alphabet versions has been of practically and theoretically importance. For example in addition to widely used Gaussian Shannon channel, there are many works such as Costa theorem [1] as the Gaussian version of discrete alphabet Gelfand-Pinsker theorem [2] and many other works related to fading or Gaussian version of discrete alphabet relay channels. In [3], the discrete alphabet degraded relay channel has been extended to Gaussian version. In [5] and [6], the previous results for discrete alphabets and memory less relay channel have been extended to UWB relay channel. In [5], authors derive bounds on the expected capacity and outage capacity of a three-node relay network with independent noises for UWB communications. In [6], a general achievable rate, two special capacity results and the max-flow mincut outer bound for the UWB relay channel with correlated noises at the relay and destination are obtained.

*Our work:* We obtain outer and inner bounds for the IEEE 802.15.3a UWB multiple access relay channel with correlated noises at the relay and receiver. We also obtain outage probability bound and diversity gain bound for UWB multiple access relay channel. At the last, we evaluate some results numerically.

*Notation:* Throughout the paper Re(.),  $\mathbb{E}(.)$ , var(.) and cov(.) denote real part, expectation, variance and covariance operations, respectively.  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  returns the largest integer  $\leq x . diag(.)$  builds a diagonal matrix and  $C(x) \triangleq \log(1+x)$  when x is complex.

#### **II.** PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review relay channel, multiple access relay channel and IEEE UWB channel model. We introduce IEEE ultra-wideband multiple access relay channel at the end of this section.

#### A. Relay Channel (RC)

The RC is a three terminal channel consisting of a source node, a destination node and one node called the relay. The role of the relay node is to improve the overall performance of the communication between the source and destination such as the coverage area and ransmission rate.

The RC consists of four finite sets:  $\mathcal{X}_R$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_D$ , and  $\mathcal{Y}_R$  and a collection of conditional probability mass function  $p(y_D, y_R | x_R, x_1)$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_D \times \mathcal{Y}_R$ , for all  $(x_R, x_1) \in \mathcal{X}_R \times \mathcal{X}_1$ ;  $x_1$  and  $x_R$  are the channel inputs, which are sent by the transmitter and the relay, respectively; and  $y_D$  and  $y_R$  are the channel outputs of the receiver and the relay. The channel is assumed to be memoryless and also the relay encoder is supposed to be strictly causal, which means that the RC input  $x_R$  at a given moment (t)depends only on the past relay observations of the transmitted messages, which is written as,

$$x_{R,t} = f_t(y_R^{t-1}), \quad t = 1, 2, \cdots, n$$
 (1)

A  $(2^{nR}, n)$  code for the RC consists of a set of integers  $\mathcal{W}_{1} = \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$ , an encoding function that maps each message  $w_{1} \in \mathcal{W}_{1}$  into a codeword,  $x_{1} : \mathcal{W}_{1} \to \mathcal{X}_{1}^{n}$  and a set of relay functions  $\{f_{t}\}_{t=1}^{n}$  such that  $x_{R,t} = f_{t}(y_{R}^{t-1}), 1 \le t \le n$  and a decoding function  $g : \mathcal{Y}_{D}^{n} \to \mathcal{W}_{1}$ . A rate R is achievable if there exists a sequence of  $(2^{nR}, n)$  codes with  $P_{e}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2^{nR}} \sum_{w_{1} \in \mathcal{W}_{1}} Pr\{g(Y_{D}^{n}) \ne w_{1} \mid w_{1} \text{ sent}\} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$ , assuming a uniform distribution over the messages. Channel capacity C is defined as the supremum over the set of achievable rates.

Furthermore, another important characteristic of RC is the employed relaying strategy, which can be partial decode and forward (PDF), decode and forward (DF), compress and forward (CF), amplify



Fig. 1. UWB Multiple-access relay channel

and forward (AF) and noisy network coding.

The RC with PDF and DF Strategies: In PDF strategy, the relay decodes some information (U, auxiliary random variable) of the message sent by the source, where U may be a part or an index of the message. In DF strategy, the relay decodes the whole of message ( $U = X_1$ ) and cooperates with the sender to help the destination in decoding. This strategy is close to optimal when the source-relay channel is excellent, which practically happens when the source and relay are physically near each other. In this work, relay uses the DF strategy like [11].

#### B. Multiple-Access Relay Channel

# 1) Discrete Memory less Multiple-Access Relay Channel:

The 2-source discrete memoryless multiple-access relay channel consists of 3 inputs;  $X_k$  (k = 1, 2), and  $X_R$  from the sources and the relay, respectively, and two output  $Y_R$ , and  $Y_D$  at the relay and receiver, respectively. This model is defined by { $(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_R), p(y_R, y_D | x_1, x_2, x_R), Y_R \times Y_D$ }, where  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_R$  are the input alphabets;  $Y_R$  and  $Y_D$  are the output alphabets. This model might fit a situation in wireless sensor networks where sensors (the sources) are too weak to cooperate, but they can send their data to more powerful nodes that form a "backbone" network [7].

#### C. IEEE UWB Channel Model

IEEE 802.15.4a group published a channel model for UWB communications [8]. The channel is modeled as a linear system with an impulse response as follows,

$$h(t) = \tilde{\beta} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} a_{i,l} e^{j\phi_{i,l}} \delta(t - T_l - \tau_{i,l})$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $T_l$  and  $\tau_{i,l}$  represents the cluster and ray arrival times, respectively, and they have Poission distributions. The factor  $\tilde{\beta}$  jointly models the pathloss, shadowing and antenna insertion loss.  $a_{i,l}$  is

output vector  $y = (y_0, \dots, y_{K-1})^T$  given by,

$$y_i = \sum_{k=0}^{K'-1} g_k x_{i-k} + z_i, \qquad i = 0, \cdots, K-1$$
(3)

where,

$$g_{k} = \sum_{i,l \neq d_{i,l}/T_{s} \neq k} \tilde{\beta} \alpha_{i,l} e^{-j2\pi f_{c} d_{i,l}}, \qquad (d_{i,l} = T_{l} + \tau_{i,l}, T_{s} = \frac{1}{W})$$
(4)

and  $z = (z_0, \dots, z_{K-1})^T$  is complex Gaussian with circularly symmetric independent components  $z_i \sim C\mathcal{N}(0, N)$  and K' is the memory length. Since  $\{\alpha_{i,l}\}$  are zero-mean and uncorrelated; therefore,  $\{g_k\}$  are zero-mean and uncorrelated. The channel state vector  $\mathbf{g}$  stays fixed within each block of data transmission and change in an independent and identically distributed fashion from one block to another, also we assume that the communication is coherent, i.e., the receiver knows the  $\{g_k\}$ . The size of each block, K, is constrained by the channel coherence time and can be at most equal to  $\frac{T_c}{T_s}$  where  $T_c$  is coherent time and  $T_s$  is sampling period that it is inverse of the bandpass channel bandwidth. In [9], a frequency domain model of the above UWB channel is obtained by taking DFT from both sides of (3) as follows,

$$Y_i = G_i X_i + Z_i, \qquad i = 0, 1, \cdots, K - 1$$
 (5)

where the vectors **G** are the DFT of vector of complex baseband channel coefficients  $\mathbf{g} = (g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{K-1}).$ 

# D. UWB Multiple Access Relay Channel Model

 $\mathbf{X}_1 = (\mathbf{X}_{1,0}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{1,K-1})^T$ ,  $\mathbf{X}_2 = (\mathbf{X}_{2,0}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{2,K-1})^T$  denote the K-point DFT of the transmitted UWB signals from sender 1 and sender 2 to relay and destination and and  $\mathbf{X}_R = (\mathbf{X}_{R,0}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{R,K-1})^T$  denotes the K-point DFT of the transmitted UWB signals from relay to destination. And similarly  $\mathbf{Y}_R = (\mathbf{Y}_{R,0}, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_{R,K-1})^T$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_D = (\mathbf{Y}_{D,0}, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_{D,K-1})^T$  represent the DFT of the received signals at

relay and destination, respectively. Then by this frequency domain model, we can formulate the input-output relation of UWB-MARC as,

$$Y_{Ri} = (G_{R1i}X_{1i} + G_{R2i}X_{2i}) + Z_{Ri}, \qquad i = 0, 1, \cdots, K-1$$
(6)

$$Y_{Di} = (G_{D1i}X_{1i} + G_{D2i}X_{2i} + G_{RDi}X_{Ri}) + Z_{Di}, \qquad i = 0, 1, \cdots, K-1$$
(7)

where the noise terms  $\{Z_{Ri}\}$  and  $\{Z_{Di}\}$  are *i.i.d* with ~  $\mathcal{CN}(0, N_R)$  and ~  $\mathcal{CN}(0, N_D)$ , respectively for the *i*<sup>th</sup> received sample. The vectors **G** are the DFT of vectors of complex baseband channel coefficients  $\mathbf{g} = (g_0, \dots, g_{K-1})^T$  related to each link. This model is shown in Fig. 1.

### **III. MAIN THEOREMS**

In this section, we obtain and prove two main theorems. In one of them, we obtain an outer bound and in another, we obtain an inner bound for UWB multiple access relay channel.

# A. UWB multiple access relay channel inner bound:

An achievable rate region for K-block delay constrained multiple-access relay channel is given by [11]:

$$\bigcup\{(R_{1}, R_{2}): \sum_{t \in S} R_{t} \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(X_{i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di} | V_{S_{i}^{C}}, X_{S_{i}^{C}}), \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(X_{Si}; Y_{Ri} | V_{1i}, V_{2i}, X_{S_{i}^{C}}, X_{Ri})\right) \right\}$$
(8)

where  $S \subseteq \{1, 2\}$ ,  $S^{C}$  is complement of S in set  $\{1, 2\}$  and the union is taken over all

$$p(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{R}, \mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{D}, \mathbf{y}_{R}) = \prod_{i=0}^{K-1} p(y_{Di}, y_{Ri} | x_{1i}, x_{2i}, x_{Ri}) p(v_{1i}) p(v_{2i}) p(x_{Ri} | v_{1i}, v_{2i}) \prod_{k=1}^{2} p(x_{ki} | v_{ki})$$
(9)

where  $V_{1i}$  and  $V_{2i}$  are independent random variables with finite alphabets to help the sources cooperate with the relay. Now, we extend these results to the UWB version in the following theorems. **Theorem1**. A delay-constrained general achievable rate region for frequency selective block fading ultra-wideband multiple-access relay channel is given by:

 $\int \{(R_1, R_2):$ 

$$\sum_{t \in S} R_t \le \max_{\alpha_{1i}, \alpha_{2i}, \beta_{1i}, \beta_{2i}, i=0, \dots, K-1} \min\left(\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} C(\frac{\theta_{1i}}{N_R}), \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} C(\frac{\theta_{2i}}{N_D})\right)$$
(10)

where,

$$\theta_{1i} = \sum_{t \in S} |G_{Rti}|^2 \beta_{ii} P_t$$
  
$$\theta_{2i} = \sum_{t \in S} (|G_{RDi}|^2 \alpha_{ti} P_R + |G_{Dti}|^2 P_t + 2\sqrt{P_t P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{Dti} G_{RDi}^* \sqrt{\alpha_{ti} \overline{\beta}_{ti}}\})$$

and  $S \subseteq \{1, 2\}$  and  $S^{C}$  is complement of S in set  $\{1, 2\}$ .

### **Proof** :

We assume that the sources and relay nodes transmit their signals per complex baseband sample with following constraints:

$$\frac{1}{K}\sum_{i=0}^{K-1}|X_{1i}|^2 \le P_1, \quad \frac{1}{K}\sum_{i=0}^{K-1}|X_{2i}|^2 \le P_2, \quad \frac{1}{K}\sum_{i=0}^{K-1}|X_{Ri}|^2 \le P_R$$

Let  $X_{Ri} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, P_R), X_{1i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, P_1), X_{2i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, P_2), V_{1i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, 1), V_{2i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, 1),$ 

 $M_{1i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \beta_{1i}P_1), M_{2i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \beta_{2i}P_2)$  where  $M_{1i}, M_{2i}, V_{1i}$  and  $V_{2i}$  are mutually independent. We generate random variables  $X_{1i}, X_{2i}$  and  $X_{Ri}$  according to (9) and as following way,

$$X_{Ri} = \sqrt{P_R} \left( \sqrt{\alpha_{1i}} V_{1i} + \sqrt{\alpha_{2i}} V_{2i} \right)$$
(11)

$$X_{ki} = M_{ki} + \sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{ki} P_k} V_{ki}, \qquad k = 1, 2$$
(12)

where  $M_{1i}$  and  $M_{2i}$  carry the fresh information and  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  and the relay input coherently carry the refinement information.  $|\beta_{ki}|=1-|\overline{\beta}_{ki}|$  and  $|\alpha_{1i}|+|\alpha_{2i}|=1$ . These coefficient distribute the sources power between fresh information and refinement information. Now, we generate random code as following:

- 1) Generate  $2^{nR_k}$  independent identically distributed  $\mathbf{V}_k$ , according to  $\mathcal{CN}(0, \mathbf{I})$  and index them as  $\mathbf{V}_k(m_k)$ ,  $m_k \in [1: 2^{nR_k}], k = 1, 2$ .
- 2) Generate  $2^{nR_k}$  independent identically distributed  $\mathbf{M}_k$ , according to  $\mathcal{CN}(0, \mathbf{C}_{Mk})$  and index them as  $\mathbf{M}_k(w_k)$ ,  $w_k \in [1:2^{nR_k}]$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{Mk} = diag(\beta_{k0}P_k, \dots, \beta_{k(K-1)}P_k), k = 1, 2$ .
- 3) For each  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{k}}(m_k)$ , generate  $2^{nR_k}$  conditionally independent  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}}$ . Index them as  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}}(w_k \mid m_k)$ ,  $w_k \in [1:2^{nR_k}]$  where  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}}(w_k \mid m_k) = \sqrt{P_k} [\sqrt{\overline{\beta_0}}, \cdots, \sqrt{\overline{\beta_{K-1}}}] \times \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{k}}(m_k) + \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(w_k)$ .
- 4) For each { $\mathbf{V}_1(m_1), \mathbf{V}_2(m_2)$ }, choose one  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{R}}$ . Index them as  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{R}}(m_1, m_2), m_k \in [1:2^{nR_k}]$ , where  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{R}}(m_1, m_2) = \sqrt{P_R} [\sqrt{\alpha_{10}}, \cdots, \sqrt{\alpha_{1,K-1}}] \times \mathbf{V}_1(m_1) + \sqrt{P_R} [\sqrt{\alpha_{2.0}}, \cdots, \sqrt{\alpha_{2,K-1}}] \times \mathbf{V}_2(m_2)$

where  $\times$  denotes an element by element matrix multiplication. For brevity, we prove only two expressions.

$$I(X_{1i}; Y_{Ri} | V_{1i}, V_{2i}, X_{Ri}, X_{2i}) = h(Y_{Ri} | V_{1i}, V_{2i}, X_{Ri}, X_{2i}) - h(Y_{Ri} | V_{1i}, V_{2i}, X_{Ri}, X_{1i}, X_{2i})$$
  
$$= h((G_{R1i}X_{1i} + G_{R2i}X_{2i}) + Z_{Ri} | V_{1i}, V_{2i}, X_{Ri}, X_{2i})$$
  
$$-h(Y_{Ri} | V_{1i}, V_{2i}, X_{Ri}, X_{1i}, X_{2i})$$

$$=h(G_{R1i}X_{1i} + Z_{Ri} | V_{1i}, V_{2i}, X_{Ri}, X_{2i}) - h(Z_{Ri})$$
  
$$=h(G_{R1i}(M_{1i} + \sqrt{\beta_{1i}P_{1}}V_{1i}) + Z_{Ri} | V_{1i}, V_{2i}, X_{Ri}, X_{2i}) - h(Z_{Ri})$$
  
$$=h(G_{R1i}M_{1i} + Z_{Ri} | V_{1i}, V_{2i}, X_{Ri}, X_{2i}) - h(Z_{Ri})$$
  
$$=\log 2\pi e(|G_{R1i}|^{2} \beta_{1i}P_{1} + N_{R}) - \log 2\pi e(N_{R})$$

Also, we have:

$$\begin{split} I(X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di}) &= h(Y_{Di}) - h(Y_{Di} \mid X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{Ri}) \\ &= \log 2\pi e(|G_{D1i}|^2 P_1 + |G_{D2i}|^2 P_2 + |G_{RDi}|^2 P_R \\ &+ 2\sqrt{P_1 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{RDi}^* \sqrt{\alpha_{1i}\overline{\beta}_{1i}}\} + 2\sqrt{P_2 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D2i}G_{RDi}^* \sqrt{\alpha_{2i}\overline{\beta}_{2i}}\} + N_D) - \log 2\pi e(N_D) \\ I(X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di}) \end{split}$$

$$=C(\frac{|G_{D1i}|^2 P_1 + |G_{D2i}|^2 P_2 + |G_{RDi}|^2 P_R + 2\sqrt{P_1P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{RDi}^*\sqrt{\alpha_{1i}\overline{\beta_{1i}}}\} + 2\sqrt{P_2P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D2i}G_{RDi}^*\sqrt{\alpha_{2i}\overline{\beta_{2i}}}\}}{N_D})$$

# B. UWB multiple access relay channel outer bound:

**Theorem 2.** A K - block delay constrained form for the max-flow min-cut outer bound on the capacity region of the multiple access relay channel can be expressed as [11]:

 $\bigcup \{ (R_1, R_2) :$ 

$$\sum_{t \in S} R_t \le \max_{\alpha_{1i}, \alpha_{2i}, \beta_{1i}, \beta_{2i}, i=0, \dots, K-1} \min\left(\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} C(\frac{\phi_{1i}}{N_D}), \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} C(\phi_{2i})\right)$$
(13)

where,

$$\phi_{1i} = \sum_{t \in S} |G_{Dti}|^2 P_1 t + |G_{RDi}|^2 P_R + 2\sqrt{P_t P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{Dti}G_{RDi}^*\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{ti}\overline{\alpha}_i} + \varsigma_i\}$$
  
$$\phi_{2i} = \sum_{t \in S} P_t \frac{(1 - \overline{\beta}_{ti}\overline{\alpha}_i)}{1 - |\rho_{zi}|^2} (\frac{|G_{Dti}|^2}{N_D} + \frac{|G_{Rti}|^2}{N_R} - 2\frac{\operatorname{Re}\{G_{Rti}G_{Dti}^*|\rho_{zi}|\}}{\sqrt{N_D N_R}})$$

and  $S \subseteq \{1,2\}$  and  $S^C$  is complement of S in set  $\{1,2\}$ . Also,  $\zeta_i = 0$  when  $S = \{1\}$  or  $S = \{2\}$  and

$$\varsigma_{i} = 2\sqrt{P_{1i}P_{2i}} \{G_{D1i}G_{D2i}\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\beta}_{2i}}\} \text{ when } S = \{1,2\}. \quad \rho_{zi} = \frac{\mathbb{E}(Z_{Di}Z_{Ri})}{\sqrt{N_{D}N_{R}}} \text{ is correlation coefficient}$$

between  $Z_{Di}$  and  $Z_{Ri}$ .

**Corollary 1.** As seen easily, the outer bound in [12] is obtained form (13) by removing one sender.

**Proof**: The proof is a direct consequence of the max-flow min-cut theorem. The cut sets for MARC are illustrated in Fig. 2. Across the cut  $C_1$ , the maximum rate of information transfer is bounded by:

$$R_{1} \leq \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(X_{1i}; Y_{Di}, Y_{Ri} | X_{2i}, X_{Ri})$$

where,

$$\begin{split} I(X_{1i};Y_{Di},Y_{Ri} \mid X_{2i},X_{Ri}) &= h(Y_{Di},Y_{Ri} \mid X_{2i},X_{Ri}) - h(Y_{Di},Y_{Ri} \mid X_{1i},X_{2i},X_{Ri}) \\ &\leq h(Y_{Di},Y_{Ri} \mid X_{Ri}) - h(Y_{Di},Y_{Ri} \mid X_{1i},X_{2i},X_{Ri}) \\ &\leq \log((2\pi e)^{2} (\det cov(Y_{Di},Y_{Ri} \mid X_{Ri}))) - \log((2\pi e)^{2} (\det cov(Z_{Di},Z_{Ri})))) \\ &= \log((2\pi e)^{2} \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}(Y_{Di}^{2} \mid X_{Ri}) & \mathbb{E}(Y_{Di}Y_{Ri}^{*} \mid X_{Ri}) \\ \mathbb{E}(Y_{Ri}Y_{Di}^{*} \mid X_{Ri}) & \mathbb{E}(Y_{Ri}^{2} \mid X_{Ri}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &- \log((2\pi e)^{2} (\det cov(Z_{Di},Z_{Ri})))) \\ &= \log((2\pi e)^{2} \det \begin{pmatrix} |G_{Dii}|^{2} P_{1}(1-\rho_{1Ri}^{2}) + N_{D} & G_{Rii}G_{Dii}^{*}P_{1}(1-\rho_{1Ri}^{2}) + \sqrt{N_{R}N_{D}}\rho_{zi} \\ G_{Rii}^{*}G_{Dii}P_{1}(1-\rho_{1Ri}^{2}) + \sqrt{N_{R}N_{D}}\rho_{zi}^{*} & |G_{Rii}|^{2} P_{1}(1-\rho_{1Ri}^{2}) + N_{R} \end{pmatrix} \\ &- \log((2\pi e)^{2} N_{R}N_{D}(1-\rho_{zi}^{2})) \end{split}$$

where,

$$\rho_{1Ri} = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_{1i}X_{Ri})}{\sqrt{P_{1}P_{R}}} = \sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\alpha}_{i}} \text{ . So, we have,}$$

$$I\left(X_{1i}; Y_{Di}, Y_{Ri} \mid X_{2i}, X_{Ri}\right) \le \log\left(1 + P_{1}\frac{(1 - \overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\alpha}_{i})}{1 - |\rho_{zi}|^{2}}\left(\frac{|G_{D1i}|^{2}}{N_{D}} + \frac{|G_{R1i}|^{2}}{N_{R}} - 2\frac{\operatorname{Re}\{G_{R1i}G_{D1i}^{*} \mid \rho_{zi} \mid\}}{\sqrt{N_{D}N_{R}}}\right)\right)$$

Similarly, by considering  $C_2$ ,

$$I(X_{2i};Y_{Di},Y_{Ri} | X_{1i},X_{Ri}) \le \log(1+P_2 \frac{(1-\overline{\beta}_{2i}\overline{\alpha}_i)}{1-|\rho_{2i}|^2} (\frac{|G_{D2i}|^2}{N_D} + \frac{|G_{R2i}|^2}{N_R} - 2\frac{\operatorname{Re}\{G_{R2i}G_{D2i}^* | \rho_{2i}|\}}{\sqrt{N_DN_R}})$$

Now, by considering  $C'_1$ , we have

$$R_{1} \leq \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(X_{1i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di} \mid X_{2i})$$

where,

$$I(X_{1i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di} | X_{2i}) = h(Y_{Di} | X_{2i}) - h(Y_{Di} | X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{Ri})$$
  
=  $\log(2\pi e(|G_{D1i}|^2 P_1 + |G_{RDi}|^2 P_R + 2\sqrt{P_1 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{RDi}^*\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\alpha}_i}\} + N_D))$   
 $-\log(2\pi e N_D)$ 

So, we have



Fig. 2. Illustration of cut sets for MARC

$$I(X_{1i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di} | X_{2i}) = \log(1 + \frac{|G_{D1i}|^2 P_1 + |G_{RDi}|^2 P_R + 2\sqrt{P_1 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{RDi}^* \sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\alpha}_i}\}}{N_D})$$

Similarly, by considering  $C'_2$ , we have:

$$I(X_{2i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di} | X_{1i}) = \log(1 + \frac{|G_{D2i}|^2 P_2 + |G_{RDi}|^2 P_R + 2\sqrt{P_2 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D2i}G_{RDi}^* \sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{2i}\overline{\alpha}_i}\}}{N_D})$$

Now, by considering  $C_3$ , we have

$$R_1 + R_2 \le \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(X_{1i}, X_{2i}; Y_{Di}, Y_{Ri} \mid X_{Ri})$$

where,

$$I(X_{1i}, X_{2i}; Y_{Di}, Y_{Ri} | X_{Ri}) = h(Y_{Di}, Y_{Ri} | X_{Ri}) - h(Y_{Di}, Y_{Ri} | X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{Ri})$$
  
$$\leq \log((2\pi e)^{2} (\det cov(Y_{Di}, Y_{Ri} | X_{Ri}))) - \log((2\pi e)^{2} (\det cov(Z_{Di}, Z_{Ri}))))$$

So, we have

$$I(X_{1i}, X_{2i}; Y_{Di}, Y_{Ri} | X_{Ri}) \le \log(1 + A_i'' + B_i'')$$

where,

$$A_{i}^{\prime\prime\prime} = P_{1} \frac{(1 - \overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\alpha}_{i})}{1 - |\rho_{zi}|^{2}} \Big( \frac{|G_{D1i}|^{2}}{N_{D}} + \frac{|G_{R1i}|^{2}}{N_{R}} - 2 \frac{\operatorname{Re}\{G_{R1i}G_{D1i}^{*} |\rho_{zi}|\}}{\sqrt{N_{D}N_{R}}} \Big)$$
$$B_{i}^{\prime\prime\prime} = P_{2} \frac{(1 - \overline{\beta}_{2i}\overline{\alpha}_{i})}{1 - |\rho_{zi}|^{2}} \Big( \frac{|G_{D2i}|^{2}}{N_{D}} + \frac{|G_{R2i}|^{2}}{N_{R}} - 2 \frac{\operatorname{Re}\{G_{R2i}G_{D2i}^{*} |\rho_{zi}|\}}{\sqrt{N_{D}N_{R}}} \Big)$$

Lastly, across the cut  $C'_3$ , the maximum sum rate of information transfer is bounded by:

$$R_{1} + R_{2} \leq \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di})$$

$$I(X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di}) = h(Y_{Di}) - h(Y_{Di} | X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{Ri})$$
  
=  $\log(2\pi e(|G_{D1i}|^2 P_1 + |G_{D2i}|^2 P_2 + |G_{RDi}|^2 P_R$   
 $+ 2\sqrt{P_1 P_2} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{D2i}^*\overline{\alpha}_i\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\beta}_{2i}}\} + 2\sqrt{P_1 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{RDi}^*\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\alpha}_i}\}$   
 $+ 2\sqrt{P_2 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D2i}G_{RDi}^*\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{2i}\overline{\alpha}_i}\} + N_D) - \log(2\pi e N_D)$ 

and,

$$I(X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di}) = h(Y_{Di}) - h(Y_{Di} | X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{Ri})$$
  
=  $\log(2\pi e(|G_{D1i}|^2 P_1 + |G_{D2i}|^2 P_2 + |G_{RDi}|^2 P_R$   
 $+ 2\sqrt{P_1 P_2} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{D2i}^*\overline{\alpha}_i\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\beta}_{2i}}\} + 2\sqrt{P_1 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{RDi}^*\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\alpha}_i}\}$   
 $+ 2\sqrt{P_2 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D2i}G_{RDi}^*\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{2i}\overline{\alpha}_i}\} + N_D) - \log(2\pi e N_D)$ 

So, we have

$$I(X_{D1i}, X_{D2i}, X_{Ri}; Y_{Di}) \le \log(1 + \frac{A_i'' + B_i''}{N_D})$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i}'' &= |G_{D1i}|^{2} P_{1} + |G_{D2i}|^{2} P_{2} + |G_{RDi}|^{2} P_{R} \\ B_{i}'' &= \sqrt{P_{1}P_{2}} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{D2i}^{*}\overline{\alpha}_{i}\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\beta}_{2i}}\} \\ &+ 2\sqrt{P_{1}P_{R}} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{RDi}^{*}\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{1i}\overline{\alpha}_{i}}\} + 2\sqrt{P_{2}P_{R}} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D2i}G_{RDi}^{*}\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{2i}\overline{\alpha}_{i}}\} \end{aligned}$$

#### IV. OUTAGE PROBABILITY ANALYSIS

**Outage probability:** Outage probability is an important measure of performance of communication systems such as mobile systems and can be used as a minimum quality of service requirement. The outage probability implies that there is a nonzero probability that a given transmission rate cannot be supported by the channel.

# A. Statistical properties of the channel frequency coefficients

If  $a_k$  is a random variable with Nakagami distribution, then gamma probability density of  $a_k^2$  is given by [13]:

$$p(a_k^2) = (\frac{m_k}{\Omega_k})^2 \frac{a^{2m_k-2}}{\Gamma(m_k)} exp(-\frac{m_k}{\Omega_k}a^2) \quad a^2 \ge 0, m_k \ge 0.5$$
(14)

where the mean and variance of  $a_k^2$  are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[a_k^2] = \Omega_k, \qquad Var[a_k^2] = \frac{\Omega_k^2}{m_k}$$
(15)

The sum of *L* independent gamma terms,  $s = \sum_{k=1}^{L} a_k^2$ , was approximated by an equivalent gamma distribution with the following parameters [14]:

$$\Omega_s \simeq \sum_{k=1}^L \Omega_k, \qquad m_s \simeq \frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^L \Omega_k\right)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^L \frac{\Omega_k^2}{m_k}}$$
(16)

**Lemma 1**. The linear combination of independent gamma terms,  $s_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \alpha_k a_k^2$ , can be approximated by an equivalent gamma distribution with the following parameters:

$$\Omega_{(s_{\alpha})} \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{L} \alpha_k \Omega_k, \qquad m_{(s_{\alpha})} \simeq \frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{L} \alpha_k \Omega_k\right)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^{L} \alpha_k^2 \frac{\Omega_k^2}{m_k}}$$
(17)

**Proof** : The proof is omitted for brevity.

We assume that the multipath components arrive at uniform delays, so  $g_k$  in (4) reduces to one term

$$g_{k} = \tilde{\beta} a_{i,l} e^{j\phi_{i,l}} |_{i,l \in [T_{l} + \tau_{i,l})/T_{s}] = k}$$

$$(18)$$

Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}\{g_k\}$  becomes zero. As **G** are the DFT of **g**; consequently,  $\mathbb{E}\{G_i\}=0$ . Also,  $\mathbf{G}_{D1}, \mathbf{G}_{D2}, \mathbf{G}_{R1}, \mathbf{G}_{R2}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_{RD}$  are independent, so  $\mathbb{E}\{G_{mi}G_{ni}\}=0$  for each m, n = D1, D2, R1, R2, RD.

The channel coefficient  $a_{i,l}$  has Nakagami distribution ([8]) with parameters  $m_{i,l}$  and

$$\Omega_{i,l} = \frac{1}{\gamma_l [(1-\beta)\gamma_1 + \beta\gamma_2 + 1]} e^{-\frac{\tau_{i,l}}{\gamma_l}} e^{-\frac{T_l}{\Gamma_l}} 10^{\frac{M_{cluster}}{10}}$$
(19)

in which  $T_l$  is the arrival time of the  $l^{th}$  cluster and  $\tau_{i,l}$  is the arrival time of the  $i^{th}$  ray in the  $l^{th}$  cluster relative to the cluster arrival time  $T_l$ .  $M_{cluster}$  (cluster shadowing) is a zero mean normally distributed variable with standard deviation  $\sigma_{cluster}$  (cluster shadowing variance),  $\gamma_l$  and  $\Gamma_l$  are the

intra-cluster decay time constant and mean energy of each cluster, respectively, and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  are the ray arrival rates, and  $\beta$  is mixing factor ([8]). And from [5], we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\{\sum_{l=0}^{L-1}\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}|a_{i,l}|^{2}\} = \xi exp(\frac{(ln(10))^{2}}{200}\sigma_{cluster}^{2})\kappa^{LOS}.\frac{\phi'^{M}-1}{\phi'-1}\frac{\mu'e^{L(\mu'-1)}-1}{\mu'-1}$$
(20)

where  $\phi' = \frac{\beta \gamma_1}{\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_0}} + \frac{(1 - \beta)\gamma_2}{\gamma_2 + \frac{1}{\gamma_0}}$ ,  $\mu' = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + \frac{1}{\Gamma}}$  ( $\gamma$  is inter-cluster arrival rate ([8]),  $\overline{L}$  denotes the

mean number of clusters,  $\xi = \frac{1}{\gamma_0[(1-\beta)\gamma_1 + \beta\gamma_2 + 1]}$  and  $\kappa^{LOS}$  is equal to 1 for LOS connection and

equal to  $\mu'$  for NLOS connection. Using the  $\gamma_0$  is for omitting the dependency of  $\gamma_l$  and  $\phi'_l$  to l ([5],[8]).

The variance of  $g_k$  can be calculated as follows [15]:

$$\operatorname{var}\left(\sum g_{k_{real}}\right) = \operatorname{var}\left(\sum_{l}\sum_{i}\tilde{\beta}a_{i,l}e^{j\phi_{l}}\right)_{real}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l}\sum_{i}\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{|\tilde{\beta}a_{i,l}|^{2}\right\}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l}\sum_{i}\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{|\tilde{\beta}|^{2}\right\}\mathbb{E}\left\{|a_{i,l}|^{2}\right\}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\xi}{2PL}\exp(\frac{(\ln(10))^{2}}{200}\sigma_{cluster}^{2})\kappa^{LOS}$$
$$\times \frac{\phi'^{M}-1}{\phi'-1}\frac{\mu'e^{\bar{L}(\mu'-1)}-1}{\mu'-1}$$
(21)

where *PL* is the pathloss and it is assumed that  $\beta$  models only the pathloss effect and the effect of shadowing and antenna insertion loss are neglected. According to the Parseval's relation, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} |G_{m,k}|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} |g_{m,k}|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{(K'_m - 1)} |g_{m,k}|^2 \simeq \frac{1}{2PL_m} \sum_{i,l} |a_{i,l}^{(m)}|^2$$
(22)

 $PL_m$  is the pathloss of the  $m^{th}$  link.  $K'_m$  is the ISI length for  $m^{th}$  link (m = D1, D2, R1, R2, RD). For example, in path between relay and receiver, we can write the following expression,

$$\sum_{k} |g_{RD,k}|^{2} \simeq \frac{1}{2PL_{RD}} \sum_{i,l} |a_{i,l}^{(RD)}|^{2} = \frac{\xi_{RD}}{2PL_{RD}} exp(\frac{(ln(10))^{2}}{200} \sigma_{cluster,RD}^{2}) \kappa_{RD}^{LOS} \times \frac{\phi'^{M_{RD}} - 1}{\phi'_{RD} - 1} \frac{\mu'_{RD} e^{\bar{L}_{RD}(\mu'_{RD} - 1)} - 1}{\mu'_{RD} - 1}$$
(23)

# B. Outage Probability

In this section, we approximate the outer bound on the capacity region of the UWB multiple access relay channel (13) with using the law of large numbers and Jensen's inequality. Then, we obtain the outage probability. We can write an outer bound for the outage probability of the multiple access relay channel as follows,

$$P_{outage}^{UB} = P\{\bigcup(R_{1}, R_{2}) < \bigcup(R_{1,o}, R_{2,o})\}$$

$$\geq P\begin{pmatrix}\min(R_{11}^{max}, R_{12}^{max}) < R_{1,o}\\\min(R_{21}^{max}, R_{22}^{max}) < R_{2,o}\\\min(R_{sum,1}^{max}, R_{sum,2}^{max}) < R_{sum,o}\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\geq \begin{pmatrix}\max(P\{R_{11}^{max} < R_{1,o}\}, P\{R_{12}^{max} < R_{1,o}\})\\\max(P\{R_{21}^{max} < R_{2,o}\}, P\{R_{22}^{max} < R_{2,o}\})\\\max(P\{R_{sum,1}^{max} < R_{sum,o}\}, P\{R_{sum,2}^{max} < R_{sum,o}\})\end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$P_{outage}^{UB} \ge \begin{pmatrix} \max(P_{11,outage}^{max}, P_{12,outage}^{max}) \\ \max(P_{21,outage}^{max}, P_{22,outage}^{max}) \\ \max(P_{sum1,outage}^{max}, P_{sum2,outage}^{max}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(24)

where  $R_{1,o}$  and  $R_{2,o}$  are individual target rates,  $R_{sum,o}$  is sum target,  $P_{ij,outage}^{max}$  denotes probability of  $R_{ij}^{max} < R_{i,o}$  ([5],[13],[14]).

1) Outage probability of  $R_{11}^{max}$ :

We can write an outer bound on  $R_{11}^{max}$  as follows,

$$R_{11}^{max} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \log(1 + \frac{A+B}{N_D})$$

$$\stackrel{a}{\leq} \log(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} P_1 |G_{D1i}|^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} P_R |G_{RDi}|^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} 2\sqrt{P_1 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i} G_{RDi}^*\}}{KN_D})$$

$$\stackrel{b}{\leq} \log(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} P_1 |G_{D1i}|^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} P_R |G_{RDi}|^2}{KN_D})$$

$$= \log(1 + SNR_1 (\sum_{i,l} |a_{i,l}^{(D1)}|^2 + \gamma_{RD1} \sum_{i,l} |a_{i,l}^{(RD)}|^2)$$
(25)

where,

$$A = |G_{D1i}|^2 P_1 + |G_{RDi}|^2 P_R$$
$$B = 2\sqrt{P_1 P_R} \operatorname{Re}\{G_{D1i}G_{RDi}^*\}$$

and

- `a' follows from Jensen's inequality.
- `b' follows from the law of large numbers. Since K is a very large number, we can approximate the term  $\operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{K}\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \{G_{D1i}G_{RDi}^*\})$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\mathbb{E}\{G_{D1i}G_{RDi}^*\})$ .

• `c' follows from equality of transmitted powers,  $SNR_1 \triangleq \frac{1}{2PL_{D1}} \frac{P_1}{KN_D}$ ,  $\gamma_{RD1} \triangleq \frac{PL_{D1}}{PL_{RD}} \frac{P_R}{P_1}$ 

and (22).

So, we have:

$$P_{11,outage}^{max} = P\{R_{11}^{max} < R_{1,o}\}$$

$$= P\{\log(1 + SNR_{1}(\sum_{i,l} |a_{i,l}^{(D1)}|^{2} + \gamma_{RD1} \sum_{i,l} |a_{i,l}^{(RD)}|^{2}) < R_{1,o}\}$$

$$= P\{(\sum_{i,l} |a_{i,l}^{(D1)}|^{2} + \gamma_{RD1} \sum_{i,l} |a_{i,l}^{(RD)}|^{2}) < \frac{2^{R_{1,o}} - 1}{SNR_{1}}\}$$

$$= P\{(\Xi_{D1} + \gamma_{RD1} \Xi_{RD}) < \Xi_{th1}\}$$
(26)

where  $\Xi_{D1}$  and  $\Xi_{RD}$  are random variables with gamma distribution and parameters  $(m_{D1}, \Omega_{D1})$  and  $(m_{RD}, \Omega_{RD})$  which are defined with following parameters (15,16):

$$\Omega_{D1} \simeq \sum_{i,l} \Omega_{i,l}^{(D1)}, \qquad m_{D1} \simeq \frac{\Omega_{D1}^2}{\sum_{i,l} \frac{(\Omega_{i,l}^{(D1)})^2}{m_{i,l}}}$$
(27)

$$\Omega_{RD} \simeq \sum_{i,l} \Omega_{i,l}^{(RD)}, \qquad m_{RD} \simeq \frac{\Omega_{RD}^2}{\sum_{i,l} \frac{(\Omega_{i,l}^{(RD)})^2}{m_{i,l}}}$$
(28)

So, we can write:

$$P_{11,outage}^{max} = \frac{\gamma(m_{11}, \frac{m_{11}\Xi_{th1}}{\Omega_{11}})}{\Gamma(m_{11})}$$
(29)

where  $\Gamma(.)$  is the gamma function and  $\gamma(.,.)$  is the lower incomplete gamma function.

Using the Lemma 1 and choosing  $\alpha_1 = 1$  and  $\alpha_2 = \gamma_{RD1}$ , we can write the following relations:

$$m_{11} \simeq \frac{(\Omega_{D1} + \gamma_{RD1} \Omega_{RD})^2}{\frac{\Omega_{D1}^2}{m_{D1}} + \gamma_{RD1}^2 \frac{\Omega_{RD}^2}{m_{RD}}}$$
(30)

$$\Omega_{11} \simeq \Omega_{D1} + \gamma_{RD1} \Omega_{RD} \tag{31}$$

2) Outage probability of  $R_{12}^{max}$ :

Like section (IV-B1), we can write an outer bound on  $R_{12}^{max}$  as follows,

$$P_{12,outage}^{max} = P\{(\Xi_{D1} + \gamma_{D1R1}\Xi_{R1}) < \Xi_{th1}\}$$
(32)

where  $\gamma_{D1R1} \triangleq \frac{PL_{D1}}{PL_{R1}} \frac{N_D}{N_R}$ . Also,  $\Xi_{D1}$  and  $\Xi_{D1R1}$  are random variables with gamma distribution and

parameters  $(m_{D_1}, \Omega_{D_1})$  and  $(m_{R_1}, \Omega_{R_1})$  which  $(m_{R_1}, \Omega_{R_1})$  is defined with following parameters (15,16):

$$\Omega_{R1} \simeq \sum_{i,l} \Omega_{i,l}^{(R1)}, \qquad m_{R1} \simeq \frac{\Omega_{R1}^2}{\sum_{i,l} \frac{(\Omega_{i,l}^{(R1)})^2}{m_{i,l}}}$$
(33)

So, we can write

$$P_{12,outage}^{max} = \frac{\gamma(m_{12}, \frac{m_{12}\Xi_{th1}}{\Omega_{12}})}{\Gamma(m_{12})}$$
(34)

Therefore,

$$P_{1,outage} \ge \max(P_{11,outage}^{max}, P_{12,outage}^{max})$$
  
=  $\max(\frac{\gamma(m_{11}, \frac{m_{11}\gamma_{th1}}{\Omega_{11}})}{\Gamma(m_{11})}, \frac{\gamma(m_{12}, \frac{m_{12}\gamma_{th1}}{\Omega_{12}})}{\Gamma(m_{12})})$  (35)

As the same way,

$$P_{2,outage} \ge \max(P_{21,outage}^{max}, P_{22,outage}^{max})$$
  
=  $\max(\frac{\gamma(m_{21}, \frac{m_{21}\Xi_{th2}}{\Omega_{21}})}{\Gamma(m_{21})}, \frac{\gamma(m_{22}, \frac{m_{22}\Xi_{th2}}{\Omega_{22}})}{\Gamma(m_{22})})$  (36)

# 3) Outage probability of $R_{sum,1}^{max}$ :

We can write an outer bound on  $R_{sum,1}^{max}$  as follows,

$$P_{sum1,outage}^{max} = \frac{\gamma(m_{sum,1}, \frac{m_{sum,1} \Xi_{th1}}{\Omega_{sum,1}})}{\Gamma(m_{sum,1})}$$
(37)

where,

$$m_{sum,1} \simeq \frac{\left(\Omega_{D1} + \frac{SNR_2}{SNR_1}\Omega_{D2} + \gamma_{RD1}\Omega_{RD}\right)^2}{\frac{\Omega_{D1}^2}{m_{D1}} + \left(\frac{SNR_2}{SNR_1}\right)^2 \frac{\Omega_{D2}^2}{m_{D2}} + \gamma_{RD1}^2 \frac{\Omega_{RD}^2}{m_{RD}}}$$
(38)

$$\Omega_{sum,1} = \Omega_{D1} + \frac{SNR_2}{SNR_1} \Omega_{D2} + \gamma_{RD1} \Omega_{RD}$$
(39)

where  $SNR2 \triangleq \frac{1}{2PL_{D2}} \frac{P_2}{KN_D}$ . For the lack of space, proof is omitted.

Similarly, we can write an outer bound on  $R_{sum,2}^{max}$  as follows,

$$P_{sum2,outage}^{max} = \frac{\gamma(m_{sum,2}, \frac{m_{sum,2}\Xi_{th,sum}}{\Omega_{sum,2}})}{\Gamma(m_{sum,2})}$$
(40)

where,

$$m_{sum,2} \simeq \frac{\left(\Omega_{D1} + \frac{SNR_2}{SNR_1}\Omega_{D2} + \gamma_{D1R1}\Omega_{R1} + \gamma_{D1R2}\Omega_{R2}\right)^2}{\frac{\Omega_{D1}^2}{m_{D1}} + \left(\frac{SNR_2}{SNR_1}\right)^2 \frac{\Omega_{D2}^2}{m_{D2}} + \gamma_{D1R1}^2 \frac{\Omega_{R1}^2}{m_{R1}} + \gamma_{D1R2}^2 \frac{\Omega_{R2}^2}{m_{R2}}}$$
(41)

$$\Omega_{sum,2} = \Omega_{D1} + \frac{SNR2}{SNR1} \Omega_{D2} + \gamma_{D1R1} \Omega_{R1} + \gamma_{D1R2} \Omega_{R2}$$

$$\tag{42}$$

Consequently,

$$P_{sum,outage} \geq \max(P_{sum1,outage}^{max}, \mathbb{P}_{sum2,outage}^{max})$$

$$= \max\left(\frac{\gamma(m_{sum,1}, \frac{m_{sum,1}\Xi_{th,sum}}{\Omega_{sum,1}})}{\Gamma(m_{sum,1})}, \frac{\gamma(m_{sum,1}, \frac{m_{sum,2}\Xi_{th,sum}}{\Omega_{sum,2}})}{\Gamma(m_{sum,2})}\right)$$
(43)

#### **Outage probability bound:**

The following is an approximated bound for outage probability of the UWB multiple access relay channel:

$$P_{outage}^{UB} \geq \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(m_{11}, \frac{m_{11}\gamma_{th1}}{\Omega_{11}}), \frac{\gamma(m_{12}, \frac{m_{12}\gamma_{th1}}{\Omega_{12}})}{\Gamma(m_{11})}, \frac{\gamma(m_{12}, \frac{m_{12}\gamma_{th1}}{\Omega_{12}})}{\Gamma(m_{12})} \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{\gamma(m_{21}, \frac{m_{21}\Xi_{th2}}{\Omega_{21}})}{\Gamma(m_{21})}, \frac{\gamma(m_{22}, \frac{m_{22}\Xi_{th2}}{\Omega_{22}})}{\Gamma(m_{22})} \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{\gamma(m_{sum,1}, \frac{m_{sum,1}\Xi_{th,sum}}{\Omega_{sum,1}})}{\Gamma(m_{sum,1})}, \frac{\gamma(m_{sum,1}, \frac{m_{sum,2}\Xi_{th,sum}}{\Omega_{sum,2}})}{\Gamma(m_{sum,2})} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(44)$$

#### C. Diversity Gain Analysis and Comparison

The  $\mathbb{P}_{11,outage}^{max}$  gives us a diversity gain of  $m_{11}$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{12,outage}^{max}$  gives us a diversity gain of  $m_{12}$ . and so on. Therefore, we can write the following bound for diversity gain,

$$Diversity \quad Gain \leq \begin{pmatrix} \min(m_{11}, m_{12}) \\ \min(m_{21}, m_{22}) \\ \min(m_{sum,1}, m_{sum,2}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(45)

For comparison, we assume that the number of clusters and the number of rays in each cluster and in all paths are equal. Also, all of paths are Nakagami variables with similar parameters  $\Omega$  and m and with equal path loss. Noise power spectral density at the destination and the relay are assumed equal. It is assumed that the sources and relay power are equal ( $P_1 = P_2 = P_R$ ). We can compute  $m_{11}$ ,  $m_{12}$ ,  $\cdots$  as following,

$$\Omega_{D1} = K\Omega = LM\Omega, \qquad m_{D1} = Km = LMm$$

then

$$\Omega_{11} = K\Omega + \gamma_{RD1}K\Omega = LM\Omega + \gamma_{RD1}LM\Omega$$

by considering the above assumptions,

$$\Omega_{11} = 2K\Omega = 2LM\Omega$$



Fig. 3. Simulation model.

and,

 $m_{11} = 2Km = 2LMm$ 

as the same way

$$m_{12} = m_{21} = m_{22} = 2Km = 2LMm$$

and,

$$m_{sum,1} = 3Km = 3LMm,$$
  $m_{sum,2} = 4Km = 4LMm$ 

Consequently,

$$(Diversity \quad Gain)_{UWB_{MARC}} \le LMm \begin{pmatrix} 2\\ 2\\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(46)

By removing relay from (13), we obtain the diversity gain bound for UWB multiple access channels as follows,

$$(Diversity \quad Gain)_{UWB_{MAC}} \le LMm \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(47)

By comparing (46) with (47), we see an improvement in diversity gain when we add a relay to multiple access channel.

# V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we illustrate some numerical results for the derived bounds and regions. We examine our results in NLOS environment with 8 GHz bandwidth and center frequency of 6 GHz. The distance between senders and receiver is fix and about 3 meters but the distance between two senders



Fig. 4. Inner and outer bounds for  $R_1$  and  $R_1 + R_2$  for  $\rho_z = 0.99$ .



Fig. 5. Inner and outer bounds for  $R_1$  and  $R_1 + R_2$  for  $\rho_z = 0.50$ .

is 2 meters and the relay is located in distance of d meters from the both senders (Fig. 3). The transmitted power by the sources and relay nodes are equal to the maximum allowed power for the UWM systems, defined by FCC (-41.3dBm/MHz). We assume noise power spectral density at the destination is half of noise power spectral density at the relay ( $N_D = 0.5N_R$ ). In Figs. 4, 5 and 6 the inner and outer bounds for  $R_1$  and  $R_1 + R_2$  for three different values of correlation coefficients (0.99, 0.50 and 0.00) are shown. We can say that our inner bound is independent of noise correlation coefficients, because of using decode and forward scheme. Based on the Fig. 7, we see that when the



Fig. 6. Inner and outer bounds for  $R_1$  and  $R_1 + R_2$  for  $\rho_z = 0$ .



Fig. 7. Distance between relay and sources for maximum individual rates of outer bound.

noise correlation coefficient increases, the distance between relay and sources for maximum individual rates of outer bound is increased. Therefore, it is better that the relay is located near the sources when the noise correlation coefficient is low. Therefore, noise correlation coefficients plays important role in determining relay position and data rate. And also, as intuitively expected, the noise correlation coefficient appears in terms having  $(Y_D, Y_R)$ . In achievable rate terms there is not  $(Y_D, Y_R)$  and hence we do not see the noise correlation coefficient. Physically speaking, we observe that achievable rate is not a function of the correlation coefficient, because the relay node performs full-decoding of the sources messages and send newly encoded messages.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

We have obtained outer and inner bounds for the IEEE 802.15.3a UWB multiple access relay channel with correlated noises at the relay and receiver. We have also obtained the diversity gain bound and shown that there is an improvement in diversity gain compared with UWB multiple access channels. Our model subsumes UWB relay channel with and without correlated noises. At the last, we evaluated some results numerically.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Costa, "Writing on dirty paper (corresp.)," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 439-441, May 1983.
- S. I. Gelfand and M. S. Pinsker, "Coding for channel with random parameters," *Probl. Contr. Inform. Theory*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1931-1980.
- [3] T. M. Cover and A. E. Gamal, "Capacity theorems for the relay channel," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 25, no. 5, Sep. 1979.
- [4] B. Wang, J. Zhang and L. Zheng, "Achievable rates and scaling laws of power-constrained wireless sensory relay networks," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 9, pp. 4084-4104, Sep. 2006.
- [5] Z. Zeinalpour-Yazdi, M. Nasiri-Kenari, B. Aazhang, J. Wehinger and C. F. Mecklenbrauker, "Bounds on the delay-constrained capacity of UWB communication with a relay node," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Communication*, vol. 8, pp. 2265-2273, no. 5, May 2009.
- [6] M. Faramarzi Yazd and G. H. Hodtani, "A delay-constrained general achievable rate and certain capacity results for UWB relay channel," 8th International Symposium on Wireless Communication Systems, 2011, Aachen, Germany.
- [7] G. Kramer, P. Gupta, and M. Gastpar, "Cooperative strategies and capacity theorems for relay networks," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 9, May 2005.
- [8] A. F. Molisch, K. Balakrishnan, C. chin Chong, S. Emami, A. Fort, J. Karedal, J. Kunisch, H. Schantz, U. Schuster, and K. Siwiak, "IEEE 802.15.4a channel model final report," *Converging: Technology, work and learning*, Australian Government Printing Service. Online. Available, 2004.
- [9] E. Arikan, "Capacity bounds for an ultra-wideband channel model," *Proc. IEEE ITW Conference*, Oct. 2004, pp. 176-181.
- [10] D. Tse and P. Viswanath, Fundamentals of Wireless Communication, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [11] G. Kramer and A. Van Wijngaarden, "On the white Gaussian multiple-access relay channel," *IEEE Int. Symp. on Information Theory (ISIT 2000)*, Sorrento, Italy, June 25-30, 2000, p. 92.
- [12] J. Zhang, J. Jiang, A. Goldsmith and S. Cui, "Study of Gaussian relay channels with correlated noises," *IEEE Trans. Communication*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 863-875, March 2011.
- [13] J. Reig and N. Cardona, "Approximation of outage probability on Nakagami fading channels with multiple interference," *Electron. Letter*, vol. 36, no. 19, pp. 1649-1650, Sept. 2000.
- [14] M. Dohler and H. Aghvami, "Information outage probability of distributed STBCs over Nakagami fading channels," *IEEE Commun. Letter*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 437-439, July 2004.
- [15] L. Smolyar, I. Bergel and H. Messer, "Multi-user sum-rate capacity for ultrawideband radio," *IEEE Trans. on Wireless Communications*, vol. 5, no. 7, pp.1818-1826, July 2006.